



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE 12/12/2001		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Mark A. Wolfe	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/021,761					307	4084
	29757	7590	10/20/2005	EXAMINER		INER
	MARK A. W 1076 TAMBE		· COURT	CALDWELL, ANDREW T		
	WOODBURY, MN 55125				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					2142	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Pennance to Bule 212 Communication	10/021,761	WOLFE, MARK A.					
Response to Rule 312 Communication	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Andrew Caldwell	2142					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –							
	,						
. The amendment filed on 18 July 2005 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:							
a) entered.							
b) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting	entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.						
Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee	disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.						
d) 🛛 disapproved. See explanation below.	disapproved. See explanation below.						
e) 🔲 entered in part. See explanation below.							
The amendment filed July 18, 2005 seeks to add a new claim. When an amendmentt adds a claim, the remarks accompanying the amendment must fully and clearly state the reasons on which reliance is placed to show: (A) why the amendment is needed; (B) why the proposed amended or new claims require no additional search or examination; (C) why the claims are patentable; and (D) why they were not presented earlier. See MPEP 714.16. The Applican's' remarks explain none of these. The amendment was therefore not entered because of the addition of new claim 39. However, an amendment directed solely to correcting the informality identified in claim 29 would be entered.							
This letter will also address the status of the numerous supplemental amendments filed by the applicant.							
The supplemental amendment filed June 30, 2005 was entered.							
The supplemental amendment filed June 17, 2005 was entered.							
The supplemental amendment filed May 31 2005 was not entered because it is a duplicate of the supplemental amendment filed May 24, 2005.							
The supplemental amendment filed May 24, 2005 was entered.							

ANDREW CALDWELL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER